



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/802,977

03/16/2004

Chris Smith

CYPR-CD03005

3922

45545

7590

05/01/2008

CYPRESS C/O MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP  
TWO NORTH MARKET STREET  
THIRD FLOOR  
SAN JOSE, CA 95113

EXAMINER

TRA, ANH QUAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2816

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/01/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/802,977	SMITH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	QUAN TRA	2816	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed 2/22/08.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al (USP 5994937) in view of Kwon (USP 5926045), Mitsubishi (USP 6031366) and Saeki (USP 6388490).

As to claims 1 and 4, Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows a timer circuit comprising an output stage (404) coupled to a configurable delay element (402); and a pull-down path (414) coupled to the output stage, the pull-down path coupled to receive a reference signal (Vref) that varies in proportion to temperature (figure 5) and wherein a delay through the timer circuit is inversely proportional to the temperature. The pull down path functions as current source. Thus, figure 4 shows all limitations of claim 1 except for the pull-down path is a variable current source. However, Kwon's figure 2 shows a timer circuit having variable current source 20 coupled to output state 10 for adjusting the slew rate or frequency outputted from the output state 10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make Hara et al.'s pull-down path to be a variable current source for the purpose of having more flexibility of controlling output slew rate. The modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 fails to show the detail of the modified current source (414). However, Mitsubishi's figure 3 shows a variable current source that compatible with Hara et al.'s pull-down state and having simple structure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use Mitsubishi's variable current

Art Unit: 2816

source for Hara et al.'s modified current source (transistor 424) for the purpose of saving cost. The further modified Hara et al.'s fails to show plurality of selectively active component that comprises a plurality of gated capacitors which can be selectively coupled to the output stage via a plurality of corresponding pass gates. However, Saeki's figure 3 shows a plurality of gated capacitors (CAP11-CAP15) which can be selectively coupled to output stage MP01-MN02 via a plurality of corresponding pass gates MN11-MN15 in order to adjust the delay outputted from the output stage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add Saeki's delay adjusting circuit to Hara et al.'s delay stage for the purpose of having more flexibility of controlling the delay of the signal outputted by the delay stage. Thus, the modified Hara et al. reference shows that the plurality of selectively-activated components comprise components (capacitors) different from components of the circuit (adjustable current source) for providing a selectable amount of pull down current

As to claim 2, the modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows that the reference signal is derived from a band gap reference circuit (figure 5).

As to claim 3, the modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows that the reference signal is a VPTAT voltage signal (col. 4, lines 50-51).

As to claim 6, the modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows that the circuit for providing a selectable amount of pull down current comprises a plurality of gated pull-down circuits coupled in parallel wherein each gated pull-down circuit comprises a first switch ( $S_n$ ) having controlled by a respective configuration bit and a series coupled second transistor ( $M_n$ ) having a gate controlled by said reference signal. The modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 fails to shows that the switches  $S_1$ - $S_n$  are transistors. However, transistor using as a switch is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use transistors for switches  $S_1$ - $S_n$  for the purpose of saving space and cost.

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 10 and 11 recite similar limitations of claims above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons.

As to claims 15 and 16, it is seen as an intended use for using the modified Hara et al.'s in a memory circuit.

As to claim 5, the modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows that the configurable delay element further comprises a plurality of configuration bits (inputs of Saeki's transistors MN11-MN15) each for controlling a respective pass gate.

As to claim 7, the modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows that the circuit for providing a selectable amount of pull down current comprises a plurality of gated pull-down circuits coupled in parallel wherein each gated pull-down circuit comprises a first switch ( $S_n$ ) having controlled by a respective configuration bit and a series coupled second transistor ( $M_n$ ) having a gate controlled by said reference signal. The modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 fails to shows that the switches  $S_1$ - $S_n$  are transistors. However, transistor using as a switch is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use transistors for switches  $S_1$ - $S_n$  for the purpose of saving space and cost.

As to claim 8, the modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows that the plurality of selectively activated components comprises a plurality of gated capacitors which can be selectively coupled to the output stage via a plurality of corresponding pass gates.

As to claim 9, the modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows that the configurable delay element further comprises a plurality of configuration bits each for controlling a respective pass gate.

Claims 12-14 recite similar limitations of claims above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons.

As to claim 17, the modified Hara et al.'s figure 4 shows a method of varying a delay of a timer circuit comprising: during configuration of the timer circuit (time that the variable current source and variable capacitor are varied), setting a first plurality of configuration bits (signals that control the newly added capacitors) which control the amount of elements coupled to an output stage of the timer circuit to set an amount of delay through the timer circuit; during the configuration, setting a second plurality of configuration bits (signal that controlling the variable current source) which control an amount of pull down current through a pull down path of said timer circuit to set an amount of delay through the timer circuit, the pull down path coupled to the output stage; and during operation of the timer circuit (other period different from the configuration period), varying the delay of the timer circuit in response to a varying of a reference signal ( $V_{ref}$  is varied when temperature varies), wherein the varying of the delay of the timer circuit comprises varying the delay inversely proportional to temperature (the reference voltage is proportional to absolute temperature, thus, as temperature increases, the reference voltage is increased. More pull down current is generated. Thus, less delay is provided).

Claims 18-20 recite similar limitations of claims above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

New ground of rejection is introduced as necessitated by amendment. Furthermore, transistors 414 and 424 are not replaced by capacitors as suggested. Additional capacitors are added to the output of 404 in order to further adjust the delay of the circuit (see the rejection above). Therefore, the modification of Hara et al.'s figure 4 is proper.

### ***Conclusion***

Art Unit: 2816

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUAN TRA whose telephone number is 571-272-1755. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew N. Richards can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/QUAN TRA/  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2816